UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

NANCY CAROL HUTCHISON Plaintiff(s),	Case No.: 1:17-cv-01594-CL
v.	
STATE OF OREGON, et al. Defendant(s).	

Civil Case Assignment Order

1. Presiding Judge: The above referenced case has been filed in the Medford Division of the U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon and assigned to:

2. Courtroom Deputy Clerk: Questions about the status or scheduling of this case should be directed to:

Rebecca Moore

Telephone: 541-608-8770

Email: rebecca moore@ord.uscourts.gov

3. Case Administrator/Docket Clerk: Questions about filings or docket entries in this case should be directed to:

Telephone: 541-608-8777

- **4. Place of Filing:** Any paper filings must be submitted to the Clerk of Court, James A. Redden U.S. Courthouse, 310 West Sixth St., Medford, OR, 97501. (*See* LR 3–1, LR 5–5.)
- **5. District Court Website:** Information about local rules of practice, CM/ECF electronic filing requirements, responsibility to redact personal identifiers from filings, and other related information can be found on the Court's website at <u>ord.uscourts.gov</u>.
- 6. Jurisdictional Authority of Magistrate Judges:
- a. **Pretrial Administration:** Pursuant to LR 72, the assigned United States Magistrate Judge is authorized to conduct all pretrial proceedings contemplated by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 without further designation of the Court.
- b. **Trial by Consent and Appeal Options:** Pursuant to LR 73, 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, all United States Magistrate Judges in this district are certified to exercise civil jurisdiction in assigned cases and, with the consent of the parties, enter final orders on dispositive motions, conduct trial, and enter final judgment which may be appealed directly to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (instead of a district judge).

Parties are encouraged to consent to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge by signing and filing the (attached) Consent to Jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge and Designation of the Normal Appeal Route. (*See* LR 5–5(c).) There will be no adverse consequences if a party elects not to consent to a Magistrate Judge. A Magistrate Judge, however, may be able to resolve a case earlier as they are primarily assigned only to civil cases.

Additional information about United States Magistrate Judges in the District of Oregon <u>is available on the Court's website</u>.

DATED: October 11, 2017 MARY L. MORAN Clerk of Court

by: /s/ J. Montgomery

J. Montgomery, Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

NANCY CAROL HUTCHISO Plaintiff(s),	ON	Case No.: 1:17-cv-0159	94–CL
v.			
STATE OF OREGON, et al. Defendant(s).			
Conso	ent to Jurisdiction by a Magis Designation of the Normal Ap	strate Judge opeal Route	
Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P have a United States Magistrate orders on dispositive motions, tr will not result in any adverse con from a judgment entered at a Ma would any other appeal from a d	ial, and entry of final judgment nsequences. Pursuant to Fed. R ngistrate Judge's direction may	eedings in this case, includi . I understand that withhold . Civ. P. 73(c), I agree that a	ing entry of ling consen an appeal
DATED:			
Signature:			
Name and OSB ID:			
E-mail Address:			
Firm Name:			
Mailing Address:			

City, State, Zip:

Parties Represented: